

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/710,879	08/10/2004	James W. Adkisson	BUR920040092US1	4878
30449	7590 02/10/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHMEISER, OLSEN + WATTS			TO, TUYEN P	
3 LEAR JET LANE SUITE 201			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
LATHAM, N	Y 12110		2825	•

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ne			
	10/710,879	ADKISSON ET A	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuyen To	2825	77			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY IN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may be be be some seriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me statute, cause the application to become	VICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	 ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
·						
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	ر. ۱۱, 400 U.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are objected to:	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 August 2004 is	aminer. /are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examin	ner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	to the drawing(s) be held in abe	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attacl	hed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docu						
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received in	n Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		en received in this Nationa	al Stage			
application from the International E		-at received				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies i	iol received.				
Attachment(s)	∆\	ow Summany (PTO-412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 	48) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/04; 8/10/04.		of Informal Patent Application (P	PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to the communication filed on 08/10/2004. Claims 1-20 are pending.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Claim Objections

1. **Claim 1** is objected to because the recited "likely" in line 17 is not a definite claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 11 is objected to because the recited "likely" in line 17 is not a definite claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 14 is objected to because the recited "likely" in line 13 is not a definite claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 15 is objected to because the recited "likely" in line 23 is not a definite claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 18 is objected to because the recited "likely" in line 6 is not a definite claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

Examiner suggests the "likely" is removed from the above claims.

2. Claim 14 is objected to because "characteristics" and "id" in the recited phrase "a present physical characteristics which <u>id</u> present in the first design structure" (lines 8-9) appear to be typing errors. Examiner suggests rephrasing as "a present physical characteristic which is present in the first design structure". Appropriate correction is required.

Reasons for Allowance

3. Claims 1-20 would be allowable if the above-mentioned claim objections are overcome.

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 1-10 would be allowable because the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a method for defect diagnosis of a circuit design, the method comprising the steps of:

(a) identifying M design structures and N physical characteristics of the circuit design,

wherein M and N are positive integers,

wherein each design structure of the M design structures is testable as to pass or fail, and

wherein each physical characteristic of the N physical characteristics is present in at least one design structure of the M design structures;

- (b) for each design structure of the M design structures of the circuit design, determining a fail rate and determining whether the fail rate is high or low; and
- (c) if every design structure of the M design structures in which a physical characteristic of the N physical characteristics is present has a high fail rate, then flagging the physical characteristic as being contained at least a defect.

Claims 11-14 would be allowable because the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a method for defect diagnosis of a circuit design, the method comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/710,879

Art Unit: 2825

(a) identifying M design structures and N physical characteristics of the circuit design,

wherein M and N are positive integers,

wherein each design structure of the M design structures is testable as to pass or fail, and

wherein each physical characteristic of the N physical characteristics is present in at least one design structure of the M design structures;

- (b) determining a fail rate for each design structure of the M design structures of the circuit design; and
- (c) analyzing the fail rates of a plurality of design structures of the M design structures so as to determine whether to flag the physical characteristic as being contained at least a defect.

Claims 15-20 would be allowable because the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a computer program product, comprising a computer usable medium having a computer readable program code embodied therein, said computer readable program code comprising an algorithm adapted to implement a method for analyzing defects of a circuit design, wherein a fail rate for each of a plurality of design structures is provided, said method comprising the steps of :

(a) identifying M design structures from the plurality of design structures and N physical characteristics of the circuit design,

wherein M and N are positive integers, and

Application/Control Number: 10/710,879

Art Unit: 2825

wherein each physical characteristic of the N physical characteristics is present in at least one design structure of the M design structures;

- (b) for each design structure of the M design structures of the circuit design, determining whether the fail rate of the design structure is high or low; and
- (c) if every design structure of the M design structures in which a physical characteristic of the N physical characteristics is present has a high fail rate, then flagging the physical characteristic as being contained at least a defect.
- 5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 6. Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
- 7. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyen To whose telephone number is (571) 272-8319. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on (571) 272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuyen To

Patent Examiner

AU 2825

VUTHE SIEK
PRIMARY EXAMINER